


ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Application of Pulsed Flame Velocimetry to the Study of Inhibited Methane/Oxygen Flames



Kevin L. McNesby
Robert G. Daniel
Andrzej W. Miziolek
U.S. ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY

V. I. Babushok
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

Sergey Cheskis
Aviv Amirav
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY

ARL-TR-887

October 1995

19951017 141

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE October 1995		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, Jun 94-Apr 95	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Application of Pulsed Flame Velocimetry to the Study of Inhibited Methane/ Oxygen Flames				5. FUNDING NUMBERS PR: 1L161102AH43	
6. AUTHOR(S) Kevin L. McNesby, Robert G. Daniel, Andrzej W. Miziolek, V. I. Babushok,* Sergey Cheskis,† and Aviv Amirav†					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WT-PC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-887	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES * National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. † Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Knowledge of flame velocities is an important factor in the design and construction of many devices involving combustion phenomena. We report here results of flame velocity measurement obtained using pulsed flame velocimetry, a new technique that may be useful for Halon replacement research. The method developed here is based on and is an extension of the pulsed flame photometer detector developed at Tel Aviv University. Flame velocities for burning methane/oxygen mixtures to which a small amount of inhibitor has been added are determined using pulsed flame velocimetry. Results are compared to flame velocities measured for similar mixtures using other techniques. Relative flame velocities for burning methane/oxygen mixtures doped with small amounts of inhibitors are compared with flame inhibition efficiencies. The utility of flame velocities determined using pulsed flame velocimetry as a predictive technique for inhibitor efficiency studies is discussed.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS flame inhibition, flame velocity, halons				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL		

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) of the DOD-USA.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BACKGROUND	1
3. EXPERIMENTAL	3
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	6
5. CONCLUSION	10
6. REFERENCES	13
DISTRIBUTION LIST	15

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Cross-sectional view of the PFV showing the igniter, charge collectors, and gas entry aperture	4
2. Cross-sectional view of the PFV during operation. The fuel/oxidizer gas mixture flows continuously. Gas flow rates are negligible compared to flame velocities	4
3. Flame velocity as a function of equivalence ratio measured with the PFV, and calculated using the SANDIA laminar flame code. The premixed gas temperature is 355 K	6
4. Approximate appearance on the flame front and gas motion in a horizontal tube closed at one end and containing a premixed fuel/oxidizer gas after ignition at the open end. Note gas buoyancy effects and asymmetry of flame front with respect to the cavity axis. This figure is adapted from Zeldovich (1981)	7
5. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by CF_3Br with the PFV temperature maintained at 355 K and 383 K	8
6. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF_2H_2 , CF_3H , and CF_4 , as a function of volume percent inhibitor	10
7. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF_2H_2 , CF_3H , and CF_4 as a function of weight percent inhibitor	10
8. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF_4 , and CF_3Br as a function of volume percent inhibitor	11
9. Flames speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF_4 , and CF_3Br as a function of weight percent inhibitor	11

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the great successes in firefighting technology over the past several decades has been the development and use of Halon 1301 (CF_3Br) and Halon 1211 (CF_2ClBr) as fire-extinguishing agents. These halogenated hydrocarbons have been shown to be highly effective in extinguishing fires in critical situations by virtue of their flame-inhibiting characteristics, nontoxicity prior to use, and relative nontoxicity during and following use, and because ancillary damage caused by their presence after extinguishment is minimized. Critical uses range from extinguishing fuel fires in military vehicles while preserving crew safety, to safe and nondamaging extinguishment of electrical fires in large computer facilities. Unfortunately, Halons 1301 and 1211 have been implicated as participants in stratospheric ozone depletion (Rowland 1991), and their production and sale have been banned by international agreement (Copenhagen 1992) as of 1 January 1994. Because of this, there is a concerted effort underway to find environmentally friendly yet effective substitutes for these fire inhibitors (Nyden et al. 1994) for which production has been halted.

There is a large body of literature devoted to the investigation of the mechanism by which certain additives, or diluents, affect combusting systems (Gann 1975; Bajpai 1974). We define a diluent as any dopant (i.e., inhibitor, suppressant, retardant, extinguisher, negative catalyst, accelerant, fuel/oxidizer added to a combusting system, etc.) to the existing flame system, regardless of the effect on the system. For investigations of flame inhibitor performance, scenarios range from studies of inhibitor effectiveness on large fires fueled by liquid hydrocarbons burning in air, to inhibitor effect on laminar low pressure premixed gas flames. Unfortunately, these studies have shown that the effectiveness of a particular fire inhibitor may vary depending on the type of combusting system being investigated (Biordi, Lazzara, and Papp 1975). For most real fires, the conditions within the combusting region may vary from highly diffusion-limited, fuel-rich combustion to locally premixed stoichiometric combustion. The inhibitor therefore needs to be effective over a wide range of macroscopic combustion parameters.

2. BACKGROUND

A macroscopic effect of adding a diluent to a premixed volume of gas through which a combustion wave is propagating is to alter the flame velocity (Lewis and von Elbe 1987b). The flame velocity is highly dependent on the fuel/oxidizer equivalence ratio, defined here for the uninhibited flame as the ratio of mixture volume percent fuel divided by mixture volume percent oxidizer to the stoichiometric balanced

chemical equation coefficient of fuel divided by the coefficient of oxidizer. For the flames studied here, this equation is $\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 = \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. By this convention, a fuel-rich flame will have an equivalence ratio greater than 1 and a fuel-lean flame will have an equivalence ratio less than 1. Depending on the equivalence ratio, and whether the diluent might be classed as inert, or an additional fuel or oxidizer, or an inhibitant, or any combination of these classifications, this change in flame velocity can be positive or negative. For the case of a diluent that may be classed as an inhibitant, the overall effect is to lower the flame velocity, with incremental addition of inhibitant eventually resulting in extinction of the flame.

All diluents will have an effect by virtue of the increase they bring to the heat capacity of the premixed gas (Williams 1965). The higher heat capacity of the mixture, upon addition of a diluent, initially removes energy from the flame. The energy removal lowers the flame temperature, reducing the rate of elementary chemical reactions responsible for flame propagation. This inhibitory effect is a physical manifestation. The secondary effect of the diluent is dependent upon whether the temperature and constituents within the flame enable the diluent to become a participant in the combustion chemistry. When the chemical reaction of the diluent with the premixed gas in the flame promotes flame velocity to the extent that the physical reduction of the flame velocity is overcome, the diluent may be classed as a fuel. When the diluent does not participate in the combustion chemistry, or when the diluent does participate and diluent-generated species interfere or suppress generation of species important to flame propagation, the diluent may be classed as an inhibitant.

Measurement of the speed of a laminar flame propagating through a premixed gas is most often accomplished using the total area method from a schlieren image of a flame supported by a Bunsen-type burner (Williams 1965). When operated in a vertical configuration, the premixed gas exits from the burner tube orifice and is confined from above by a stationary conical combustion wave. Except for the base and the tip of the cone, the wave is usually considered to be planar. Schlieren images of the height of the inner edge of the cone are used to geometrically calculate the area of the cone. The burning velocity is calculated by dividing the gas flow rate by the area of the combustion cone. Burning velocities calculated in this way for many different fuel/oxidizer systems can be a means of verifying flame kinetic mechanisms used in adiabatic laminar flame computer models (Linteris, to be published).

We report here the development of a new device that uses pulsed flame velocimetry (PFV) to measure the degree to which an inhibitor decreases flame speed. The device is based upon the pulsed flame

detection method developed at Tel Aviv University that was applied in a pulsed flame photometer detector by Cheskis, Atar, and Amirav (1993) and in a combined pulsed flame photometer and ionization detector as described by Tzanani and Amirav (1995). Results of flame velocity measurements using this device show good correlation with previous results of flame velocity measurements, and good correlation with previous results of extinguishment studies. The new device is simple, inexpensive to build and operate, and provides repeatable results. It is especially well suited to studies of flame inhibition by Halons because it relies on a nonoptical technique, minimizing equipment cost and potential damage to sensitive optics from exposure to hot acid gases.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

The pulsed flame velocimeter consists of a rectangular aluminum block, 10 cm in length with a square cross section of 25 cm² (see Figure 1). A 0.5-cm-diameter circular cross section cavity has been bored down the center and the length of the Al block. Bored into one side of the block and into the central cavity (normal to the cavity axis) are three 1-cm-diameter holes that house an igniter and two charge collectors. The igniter is positioned in the hole nearest the cavity exit. At the cavity end farthest away from the igniter (resistively heated Pt wire) is a plug with a small aperture allowing a flow of gas to pass into the cavity. BNC-type charge collectors, separated by 50 mm, are positioned in the remaining holes normal to the cavity axis. The entire apparatus is encased in heating tape and temperature is controlled and monitored (Omega Engineering) using Pt-Pt/10%Rh thermocouples embedded at opposite ends of the device. It is assumed that during operation, no temperature gradients exist within the aluminum block. Device temperature measured by thermocouples embedded in opposite ends of the device always agreed to within 2 K.

To operate the device, a premixed fuel/oxidizer/inhibitant gas is allowed to pass through the aperture into the cavity end furthest from the igniter. When the flowing column of gas reaches the heating element, the gas ignites and the combustion wave traverses the tube toward the rear of the device, passing the two charge collectors, which are connected in series. As the combustion wave passes each charge collector, a small voltage is generated, which is recorded on a digital oscilloscope (LeCroy Industries), enabling calculation of flame velocity. When the combustion wave reaches the gas entrance aperture, combustion is quenched by a flame arrestor placed in the mouth of the aperture. A fresh sample of gas continuously flows into the device, exhausting the burned gas in the cavity, and the process repeats itself (see Figure 2). Gas flow velocity is negligible compared to flame velocity. Each time the velocimeter




Figure 1. Cross-sectional view of the PFV showing the igniter, charge collectors, and gas entry aperture.




Figure 2. Cross-sectional view of the PFV during operation. The fuel/oxidizer gas mixture flows continuously. Gas flow rates are negligible compared to flame velocities.

operates, a small flame is observed at the open end of the device. Depending on device temperature, total flow rates varied from 0.214 to 0.3 liters/min, providing a flame repetition rate of approximately 2 Hz. Gas flow was controlled using calibrated gas flow controllers (MKS Industries). The fuel/oxidizer equivalence ratio found to give most repeatable operation was 0.35. At equivalence ratios higher (more rich) than this value, measured flame velocities showed increased scatter.

For methane/oxygen flames, steady operation at a total flow of 0.214 liters/min causes the device temperature to remain at approximately 355 K. For Halon-inhibited flames, lower temperatures of the pulsed flame caused this temperature to vary, depending on inhibitor. For this reason, and to minimize reactions of acid gases with condensed water vapor on the walls of the cavity, the device was heated to and maintained at a temperature of 385 K. The tradeoff for operation at this temperature was that the velocimeter would not function properly at this temperature at an equivalence ratio higher than 0.35. We believe that modification of the gas entry aperture (to minimize turbulence and increase quenching efficiency) will enable operation at a greater range of equivalence ratios.

The average value of the flow rate of the premixed gas through the velocimeter was $3.6 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$. This means that for a cavity length of 10 cm and a cavity diameter of 0.5 cm, the expected pulsed flame frequency is 1.8 Hz, and, considering the velocimeter temperature of 355 K (gas expansion), the pulsed flame frequency is expected to be 2.2 Hz. This value is in close agreement with the ~2 Hz operational frequency observed.

Gases were not preheated prior to entering the velocimeter. Each reported flame velocity represents the summed average of 100 repetitions of the device. Measured flame velocity in tubes has been shown to be angle sensitive (Lewis and von Elbe 1987a), so all data reported here were measured with the cavity tilted approximately 10° above horizontal, with the open end of the cavity directed slightly upward. It was found that for flames inhibited by Halons, generation of HF gas caused the Pt filament to burnout after only a few hundred repetitions. For the experiments using Halon inhibitors, the Pt igniter was replaced by a methane/air flame positioned approximately 1 mm away from the open end of the cavity.

All experiments were conducted at atmospheric pressure. Comparisons of flame speeds for uninhibited methane/oxygen flames showed the method of ignition to have a negligible effect, with the flame-ignited measurements yielding a slightly higher flame speed than the glow-ignited measurements, possibly because the flame at the cavity entrance caused excess heating of the gas just prior to flame ignition. No difference in flame velocities in neat methane/oxygen mixtures was seen when the Pt filament was exchanged for a Kanthal AF-1 wire filament or for a Nichrome filament. When the Pt filament was used, decreasing filament current after the device was warmed to operating temperature caused the device to stop operating, indicating that the Pt filament was not igniting the gas catalytically. All gases were supplied by Matheson, Inc., and were used without further purification.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows the measured flame velocity for uninhibited flames as a function of equivalence ratio for the pulsed flame velocimeter with the velocimeter temperature maintained at 355 K. Total flow rates varied from 0.06 to 0.3 liters/min. Above this temperature, the device would not operate at an equivalence ratio higher than 0.35. Above this equivalence ratio, the flame would stabilize at the aperture through which gases entered the cavity, negating any attempts to measure flame velocities at 385 K at an equivalence ratio higher than 0.35.




Figure 3. Flame velocity as a function of equivalence ratio measured with the PFV, and calculated using the SANDIA laminar flame code. The premixed gas temperature is 355 K.

Figure 3 shows flame velocities for methane/oxygen flames as a function of equivalence ratio measured with the PFV and calculated using the adiabatic laminar flame code for a premixed gas temperature of 355 K. The flame speed of a premixed methane/oxygen flame was calculated using computer codes developed at the Sandia National Laboratories. The flame speed is calculated for a freely propagating flame at an initial temperature of 355 K at 1.0 atmosphere pressure. For the calculations, the GRIMECH12 kinetic mechanism of methane combustion was employed. For each equivalence ratio in Figure 3, calculated laminar adiabatic flame velocities are less than what is measured using the PFV (Kee et al. 1985).

Figure 4 shows a drawing of the appearance of the flame front in a horizontally positioned tube containing a premixed flammable gas when the tube is closed at one end and ignited at the open end (Zeldovich 1981). Gas flow near the walls of the cavity is slower than near the axis of the cavity because of viscous drag. Because expanding gas cannot escape from the closed end of the tube, gas flows opposite to the direction of the combustion wave near the walls of the cavity. Buoyancy of the hot gases within the tube creates the asymmetry of the combustion wave. The flame velocity relative to the tube is equal to the sum of the normal flame velocity and the horizontal component of the cold gas velocity near the most advanced flame point in Figure 4. The flame velocity measured by the velocimeter (the velocity relative to the cavity) may be given by (Zeldovich 1981):

$$V = AV_{\text{lam}}/\pi R^2$$

where V is the measured flame velocity, V_{lam} is the calculated laminar adiabatic flame speed, A is the area of the flame surface, and R is the radius of the cross section of the cavity. While not accounting for heat losses to the walls of the device as a function of the flame surface, or for the asymmetry of the flame front with regard to the cavity axis, this equation does show how measured velocities in the PFV may be higher than those calculated using adiabatic flame codes. We believe the scatter in the experimental data in Figure 3 at high flame velocity (high equivalence ratio) is caused by turbulence due to elongation and asymmetry of the flame front.




Figure 4. Approximate appearance of the flame front and gas motion in a horizontal tube closed at one end and containing a premixed fuel/oxidizer gas after ignition at the open end. Note gas buoyancy effects and asymmetry of flame front with respect to the cavity axis. This figure is adapted from Zeldovich (1981).

Figure 5 shows measured flame velocities for a premixed methane/oxygen flame inhibited by CF_3Br as a function of percent volume CF_3Br at two different device temperatures. From this figure, it may be seen that heat transfer from the walls of the cavity to the premixed gas has an important effect on measured flame speed. The slight sigmoid shape of the data at low levels of inhibitor concentration is common to all data measured using the device. At this time, we do not have an explanation for this behavior. We estimate the error in flame speeds measured with a fuel/oxidizer equivalence ratio of 0.35 to be approximately 10%.





Figure 5. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by CF_3Br with the PFV temperature maintained at 355 K and 383 K.

From the previous discussion, it may be argued that the velocimeter measurements differ from total area method measurements in several ways. By the nature of the design, the premixed gas is mixed with burned gas prior to reaching the igniter. Because the igniter represents a constant energy source, the premixed gas will dilute the burned gas in the cavity until a flammability limit is reached, at which time the velocimeter will operate. Recently, some experiments were conducted in which flame velocity was measured as a function of distance from the igniter, using a velocimeter equipped with optical ports through which OH emission (from the flame front) was monitored. It was found that over the length of the device, the flame velocity was constant to within a few percent. Since this would not be the case if mixing of the premixture with burned gases were important, we have concluded that this is not a major source of error in the data. Also, it has been shown that the temperature of the walls of the cavity play

a significant role in determining flame velocity, possibly because the temperature influences the asymmetry of the flame front, or influences heterogeneous chemistry occurring on the tube walls.

Figure 6 shows flame speeds of premixed methane/oxygen flames inhibited by Ar, CF_2H_2 , CF_3H , and CF_4 as a function of volume percent inhibitor. Inhibition of flames by Ar is assumed to occur via a purely physical mechanism. The effect of addition of CF_2H_2 to the premixed gas is to act as a fuel. After only a few percent addition of CF_2H_2 , the effective equivalence ratio of the premixed gas was raised beyond 0.35 to the extent that the velocimeter ceased to operate, with the $\text{CH}_4/\text{O}_2/\text{CF}_2\text{H}_2$ flame burning at the aperture entrance to the cavity. CF_3H was found to be superior to Ar as a flame speed inhibitor on a percent volume basis, and CF_4 was found to be slightly superior as a flame speed inhibitor to CF_3H . This result is in contrast to laminar flame speed calculations (Linteris, to be published) and to measurements of flame speeds using the total area method. Laminar adiabatic flame modeling calculations predict that CF_4 acts as an inhibitor only by changing the heat capacity of the combusting mixture. CF_3H , however, is a good source of the CF_3 radical, which may react via the mechanism (Vandooren, Nelson da Cruz, and Van Tiggelen 1988):



This reaction acts as an OH scavenger, so CF_3H is expected to inhibit combustion via a chemical mechanism. The discrepancy between the PFV measurements and total area method measurements of flame speeds for CF_3H inhibited flames relative to CF_4 inhibited flames may be caused by the lower gas temperatures present in the PFV combustion wave.

Figure 7 shows flame speeds of the inhibited methane/oxygen flame ($\phi = 0.35$) as a function of weight percent inhibitor. It has been suggested (Larsen 1975) that flammabilities of fuel/oxidizer/halocarbon gas mixtures are dependent on the weight percent of halocarbon in the mixture. From Figure 7, it may be seen that when flame speed reduction is plotted vs. weight percent inhibitor, inhibition efficiency among the fluorocarbons becomes more equal than when expressed on a volume percent basis. This indicates that flame speed reduction via a physical mechanism is important when flame inhibition is measured using the PFV. The fact that CF_2H_2 acts as a fuel indicates that the device is also sensitive to diluents which may participate in flame chemistry. Also, it may be seen that on a weight percent basis up to approximately 20%, CF_3H and CF_4 cause similar flame speed reductions.




Figure 6. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF₂H₂, CF₃H, and CF₄ as a function of volume percent inhibition.




Figure 7. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF₂H₂, CF₃H, and CF₄ as a function of weight percent inhibitor.

Figure 8 shows flame speeds of the methane/oxygen flame ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF₄, and by CF₃Br (Halon 1301) as a function of volume percent inhibitor. Of all inhibitors examined in this study, CF₃Br was the most effective on a percent volume basis in reducing flame velocity. Figure 9 shows the data in Figure 8 plotted on a weight percent basis. From this figure, it may be seen that CF₃Br is still the "best" flame speed reducer examined in this study, but that the difference in flame speed reduction between methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) doped with CF₃Br or CF₄ when examined on an inhibitor weight percent basis is less than what is observed when the flame speed reduction is examined on an inhibitor volume percent basis.

5. CONCLUSION

Using the pulsed flame velocimeter, it is possible to measure the effect of various diluents on flame speed in a flame propagating in a tube containing a premixed methane/oxygen gas mixture. Results of measurements using the pulsed flame velocimeter of flame speed reduction in methane/oxygen mixtures by various Halons are qualitatively similar to measurements of flame speed obtained using the total area method. The device holds promise as a fast, efficient, and inexpensive tool by which flame inhibitor efficiency may be measured. However, more measurements on a wide variety of inhibitors need to be




Figure 8. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF₄, and CF₃Br as a function of volume percent inhibitor.




Figure 9. Flame speeds of methane/oxygen flames ($\phi = 0.35$) inhibited by Ar, CF₄, and CF₃Br as a function of weight percent inhibitor.

done before the device can become a compliment to the many other test methods available for predicting flame inhibitor efficiency. Measurements are currently under way using a new series of inhibitors, and the device has been redesigned to allow operation at higher fuel/oxidizer equivalence ratios.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

6. REFERENCES

- Bajpai, S. N. "An Investigation of the Extinction of Diffusion Flames by Halons." Journal of Fire and Flammability, vol. 5, pp. 255-267, 1974.
- Biordi, J. C., C. P. Lazzara, and J. F. Papp. "The Effect of CF_3Br on Radical Concentration Profiles in Methane Flames." Halogenated Fire Suppressants, edited by R. G. Gann, ACS Symposium Series No. 16, The American Chemical Society, pp. 256-294, Washington, DC, 1975.
- Bowman, C. T., M. Frenclach, W. C. Gardiner, D. Golden, V. Lissianski, G. Smith, and H. Wang. "GRIMECH," upcoming Gas Institute report, 1995.
- Cheskis, S., E. Atar, and A. Amirav, A. "Pulsed Flame Photometer: A Novel Gas Chromatography Detector." Anal. Chem., vol. 65, pp. 539-555, 1993.
- Copenhagen revisions to "The Montreal Protocol on Substances That Deplete the Ozone Layer," (1987) 1992.
- Gann, R. G. (ed.). "Halogenated Fire Suppressants." ACS Symposium Series No. 16, The American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1975.
- Kee, R. J., J. F. Grcar, M. D. Smooke, and J. A. Miller. "A Fortran Program for Modeling Steady Laminar One-Dimensional Premixed Flames." Sandia National Laboratories Report, SAND85-8240, 1985.
- Larsen, E. R. "Halogenated Fire Extinguishants: Flame Suppression by a Physical Mechanism?" Halogenated Fire Suppressants, edited by R. G. Gann, ACS Symposium Series No. 16, The American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, pp. 376-402, 1975.
- Lewis, B., and G. von Elbe. Combustion, Flames, and Explosions of Gases. New York: Academic Press, Inc., Third Edition, pp. 305-315, 1987a.
- Lewis, B., and G. von Elbe. Combustion, Flames, and Explosions of Gases. New York: Academic Press, Inc., Third Edition, pp. 395-417, 1987b.
- Linteris, G. T. "The Effect of Inhibitor Concentration on the Inhibition Mechanism of Fluoromethanes in Premixed Methane-Air Flames." To be published in upcoming ACS Symposium Series on Halon Alternatives.
- Nyden, M. R., G. T. Linteris, D. R. F. Burgess, Jr., P. R. Westmoreland, W. Tsang, and M. R. Zachariah. "Flame Inhibition Chemistry and the Search for Additional Fire Fighting Chemicals." Evaluation of Alternative In-Flight and Dry Bays, (Eds. W. L. Grosshandler, R. G. Gann, and W. M. Pitts), NIST SP 861, 467-641, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 1994.
- Rowland, F. S. "Stratospheric Ozone Depletion." Annual Reviews of Physical Chemistry, vol. 42, pp. 731-768, 1991.

- Tzanani, N., and A. Amirav. "The Combined Pulsed Flame Photometric Ionization Detector." Anal. Chem., vol. 67, pp. 167–173, 1995.
- Vandooren, J., F. Nelson da Cruz, and P. J. Van Tiggelen. "The Inhibiting Effect of CF_3H on the Structure of a Stoichiometric $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$ Flame." Twenty-Second Symposium (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, pp. 1587–1595, 1988.
- Williams, F. A. Combustion Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., pp. 95–134, Reading, MA, 1965.
- Zeldovich, Y. B. "Structure and Stability of Steady Laminar Flame at Moderately Large Reynolds Numbers." Combustion and Flame, vol. 40, pp. 225–234, 1981.

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CTR ATTN DTIC DDA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB ATTN AMSRL OP SD TA 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB ATTN AMSRL OP SD TL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB ATTN AMSRL OP SD TP 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>
5	DIR USARL ATTN AMSRL OP AP L (305)

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	HQDA ATTN SARD TT DR F MILTON PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	HQDA OASA RDA ATTN DR C H CHURCH PENTAGON ROOM 3E486 WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
4	COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN R GHIRARDELLI D MANN R SINGLETON R SHAW P O BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211
1	DIRECTOR ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN AMXRO RT IP LIB SERVICES P O BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE B D S DOWNS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE J A LANNON PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE BR L HARRIS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND ATTN AMSMI RD PR E A R MAYKUT AMSMI RD PR P R BETTS REDSTONE ARSENAL AL

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ATTN R S MILLER CODE 432 800 N QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON VA 22217
1	COMMANDER NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN J RAMNARACE AIR 54111C WASHINGTON DC 20360
2	COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER ATTN R BERNECKER R 13 G B WILMOT R 16 SILVER SPRING MD 20903-5000
5	COMMANDER NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN M C LIN J MCDONALD E ORAN J SHNUR R J DOYLE CODE 6110 WASHINGTON DC 20375
2	COMMANDER NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER ATTN T BOGGS CODE 388 T PARR CODE 3895 CHINA LAKE CA 93555-6001
1	SUPERINTENDENT NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL DEPT OF AERONAUTICS ATTN D W NETZER MONTEREY CA 93940
3	AL LSCF ATTN R CORLEY R GEISLER J LEVINE EDWARDS AFB CA 93523-5000
1	AFOSR ATTN J M TISHKOFF BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE WASHINGTON DC 20332

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	OSD SDIO IST ATTN L CAVENY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100
1	COMMANDANT USAFAS ATTN ATSF TSM CN FORT SILL OK 73503-5600
1	UNIV OF DAYTON RSCH INSTITUTE ATTN D CAMPBELL AL PAP EDWARDS AFB CA 93523
1	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER ATTN G B NORTHAM MS 168 LANGLEY STATION HAMPTON VA 23365
4	NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTN J HASTIE M JACOX T KASHIWAGI H SEMERJIAN WASHINGTON DC 20234
2	DIRECTOR LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB ATTN C WESTBROOK W TAO MS L 282 P O BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LAB ATTN B NICHOLS T7 MS B284 P O BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545
2	PRINCETON COMBUSTION RESEARCH LABORATORIES INC ATTN N A MESSINA M SUMMERFIELD PRINCETON CORPORATE PLAZA BLDG IV SUITE 119 11 DEERPARK DRIVE MONMOUTH JUNCTION NJ 08852

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	DIRECTOR SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES DIVISION 8354 ATTN S JOHNSTON P MATTERN D STEPHENSON LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY DEPT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING ATTN M W BECKSTEAD PROVO UT 84058
1	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECH JET PROPULSION LABORATORY ATTN L STRAND MS 125 224 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA CA 91109
1	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ATTN F E C CULICK MC 301 46 204 KARMAN LAB PASADENA CA 91125
1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LAB P O BOX 1663 MAIL STOP B216 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545
1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY CHEMISTRY DEPARMENT ATTN C BRADLEY MOORE 211 LEWIS HALL BERKELEY CA 94720
1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO ATTN F A WILLIAMS AMES B010 LA JOLLA CA 92093
2	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA QUANTUM INSTITUTE ATTN K SCHOFIELD M STEINBERG SANTA BARBARA CA 93106
1	UNIV OF COLORADO AT BOULDER ENGINEERING CENTER ATTN J DAILY CAMPUS BOX 427 BOULDER CO 80309-0427

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
3	UNIV OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN R BEAUDET S BENSON C WITTIG LOS ANGELES CA 90007
1	CORNELL UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN T A COOL BAKER LABORATORY ITHACA NY 14853
1	UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT ATTN T BRILL NEWARK DE 19711
1	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN J WINEFORDNER GAINESVILLE FL 32611
3	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE ENGINEERING ATTN E PRICE W C STRAHLE B T ZINN ATLANTA GA 30332
1	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS DEPT OF MECH ENG ATTN H KRIER 144MEB 1206 W GREEN ST URBANA IL 61801
1	THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV CPIA ATTN T W CHRISTIAN 10630 LITTLE PATUXENT PKWY SUITE 202 COLUMBIA MD 21044-3200
1	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN GAS DYNAMICS LAB ATTN G M FAETH AEROSPACE ENGINEERING BLDG ANN ARBOR MI 48109-2140
1	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN E FLETCHER MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
4	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN K KUO M MICCI S THYNELL V YANG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802
2	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY FORRESTAL CAMPUS LIBRARY ATTN K BREZINSKY I GLASSMAN P O BOX 710 PRINCETON NJ 08540
1	PURDUE UNIVERSITY SCHL OF AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS ATTN J R OSBORN GRISSOM HALL WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
1	PURDUE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN E GRANT WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
2	PURDUE UNIVERSITY SCHL OF MECHANICAL ENGRNG ATTN N M LAURENDEAU S N B MURTHY TSPC CHAFFEE HALL WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
1	RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INST DEPT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING ATTN A FONTIJN TROY NY 12181
1	STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN R HANSON STANFORD CA 94305
1	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN W GARDINER AUSTIN TX 78712
1	VA POLYTECH INST AND STATE UNIV ATTN J A SCHETZ BLACKSBURG VA 24061

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 APPLIED COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY INC
ATTN A M VARNEY
P O BOX 607885
ORLANDO FL 32860

2 APPLIED MECHANICS REVIEWS
ASME
ATTN R E WHITE & A B WENZEL
345 E 47TH STREET
NEW YORK NY 10017

1 TEXTRON DEFENSE SYSTEMS
ATTN A PATRICK
2385 REVERE BEACH PARKWAY
EVERETT MA 02149-5900

1 BATTELLE
TWSTIAC
505 KING AVENUE
COLUMBUS OH 43201-2693

1 COHEN PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ATTN N S COHEN
141 CHANNING STREET
REDLANDS CA 92373

1 EXXON RESEARCH & ENG CO
ATTN A DEAN
ROUTE 22E
ANNANDALE NJ 08801

1 GENERAL APPLIED SCIENCE LABS INC
77 RAYNOR AVENUE
RONKONKAMA NY 11779-6649

1 GENERAL ELECTRIC ORDNANCE SYSTEMS
ATTN J MANDZY
100 PLASTICS AVENUE
PITTSFIELD MA 01203

1 GENERAL MOTORS RSCH LABS
PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT
ATTN T SLOANE
WARREN MI 48090-9055

2 HERCULES INC
ATTN W B WALKUP
E A YOUNT
P O BOX 210
ROCKET CENTER WV 26726

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 HERCULES INC
ATTN R V CARTWRIGHT
100 HOWARD BLVD
KENVIL NJ 07847

1 ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC
MARINE SYSTEMS GROUP
ATTN D E BRODEN MS MN50 2000
600 2ND STREET NE
HOPKINS MN 55343

1 ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC
ATTN R E TOMPKINS
MN 11 2720
600 SECOND ST NORTH
HOPKINS MN 55343

1 IBM CORPORATION
RESEARCH DIVISION
ATTN A C TAM
5600 COTTLE ROAD
SAN JOSE CA 95193

1 IIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE
ATTN R F REMALY
10 WEST 35TH STREET
CHICAGO IL 60616

1 LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO
ATTN GEORGE LO
3251 HANOVER STREET
DEPT 52 35 B204 2
PALO ALTO CA 94304

1 OLIN ORDNANCE
ATTN V MCDONALD LIBRARY
P O BOX 222
ST MARKS FL 32355-0222

1 PAUL GOUGH ASSOCIATES INC
ATTN P S GOUGH
1048 SOUTH STREET
PORTSMOUTH NH 03801-5423

1 HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY
ATTN T E WARD
8433 FALLBROOK AVENUE
CANOGA PARK CA 91303

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORP ROCKETDYNE DIVISION ATTN J E FLANAGAN HB02 6633 CANOGA AVENUE CANOGA PARK CA 91304
1	SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INC ATTN R B EDELMAN 23146 CUMORAH CREST WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
3	SRI INTERNATIONAL ATTN G SMITH D CROSLEY D GOLDEN 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK CA 94025
1	STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECH DAVIDSON LABORATORY ATTN R MCALEVY III HOBOKEN NJ 07030
1	SVERDRUP TECHNOLOGY INC LERC GROUP ATTN R J LOCKE MS SVR 2 2001 AEROSPACE PARKWAY BROOK PARK OH 44142
1	SVERDRUP TECHNOLOGY INC ATTN J DEUR 2001 AEROSPACE PARKWAY BROOK PARK OH 44142
3	THIOKOL CORPORATION ELKTON DIVISION ATTN R BIDDLE R WILLER TECH LIB P O BOX 241 ELKTON MD 21921
3	THIOKOL CORPORATION WASATCH DIVISION ATTN S J BENNETT P O BOX 524 BRIGHAM CITY UT 84302
1	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES RSCH CENTER ATTN A C ECKBRETH EAST HARTFORD CT 06108

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP CHEMICAL SYSTEMS DIVISION ATTN R R MILLER P O BOX 49028 SAN JOSE CA 95161-9028
1	UNIVERSAL PROPULSION COMPANY ATTN H J MCSPADDEN 25401 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE PHOENIX AZ 85027-7837
1	VERITAY TECHNOLOGY INC ATTN E B FISHER 4845 MILLERSPORT HIGHWAY EAST AMHERST NY 14051-0305
1	FREEDMAN ASSOCIATES ATTN E FREEDMAN 2411 DIANA ROAD BALTIMORE MD 21209-1525
3	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS ATTN C CANDLAND L OSGOOD R BECKER 600 SECOND ST NE HOPKINS MN 55343
1	DIR BENET LABS ATTN AMSTA AR CCB T S SOPOK WATERVLIET NY 12189

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

39 DIR USARL
ATTN: AMSRL-WT-P, A HORST
 AMSRL-WT-PC,
 R A FIFER
 G F ADAMS
 W R ANDERSON
 R A BEYER
 S W BUNTE
 C F CHABALOWSKI
 K P MCNEILL-BOONSTOPPEL
 A COHEN
 R CUMPTON
 R DANIEL
 D DEVYNCK
 N F FELL
 B E FORCH
 J M HEIMERL
 A J KOTLAR
 M R MANAA
 W F MCBRATNEY
 K L MCNESBY
 S V MEDLIN
 M S MILLER
 A W MIZIOLEK
 S H MODIANO
 J B MORRIS
 J E NEWBERRY
 S A NEWTON
 R A PESCE-RODRIGUEZ
 B M RICE
 R C SAUSA
 M A SCHROEDER
 J A VANDERHOFF
 A WHREN
 J M WIDDER
 C WILLIAMSON
 S PIA
 H WILLIAMS
 N MEAGHER
 W TEAGUE
 AMSRL-CI-CA, R PATEL

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number ARL-TR-887 Date of Report October 1995

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)